ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS WHEN WORKING WITH INDIVIDUALS FOLLOWING STROKE

Tiffany Meites, Ph.D., ABPP October 13th, 2018

OBJECTIVES

- Identify at least two common situations in stroke rehabilitation that may involve ethical principles
- Identify a potential framework for recognizing and addressing ethical challenges

THE BASIS FOR ETHICAL CONFLICTS

- At least two conflicting ethical principles
- Belmont Report, 1979
 - Developed to provide guidelines for clinical research
 - Respect for persons
 - Autonomy, protection
 - May also include privacy and confidentiality
 - Beneficence
 - Also includes non-maleficence
 - Justice
 - Fairness in distribution

ADDITIONAL ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

- Fidelity and Responsibility
 - Trust in professional relationships
 - Follow professional, scientific, and societal responsibilities
 - Awareness of scope of practice
- Integrity
 - Accuracy, honesty, and truth

POTENTIAL ETHICAL SITUATIONS

- Capacity or decision making
 - Informed consent for treatment, therapies, research
 - Must demonstrate comprehension and voluntariness of decision
 - The four "C's" (Appelbaum & Grisso, 1988)
 - Communication of a choice
 - Comprehension of situation
 - Identifying the consequences
 - Explaining the reasoning

POTENTIAL ETHICAL SITUATIONS

- Life sustaining treatment (e.g, Asplund &Britton, 1989)
 - IV fluids
 - Ventilation
 - tPA
 - Dysphagia, tube feedings
- Prognosis
- Advanced care directives (e.g., Louw & Keeble, 2002)
 - Respect for individual autonomy but also capacity to determine wishes
 - Determination of application of AD or MPOA
- Research
 - Balance of risks and benefits
 - Inclusion criteria, consent

POTENTIAL ETHICAL SITUATIONS

- Confidentiality in a rehabilitation setting (e.g., Kirschner et al., 2001)
 - Treatment team
 - Insurance
 - Bystanders/family
- Allocation of resources (e.g., Kirschner et al., 2001)
 - May include equipment, time, fiscal
- o Discharge planning (Hill & Filer, 2015)
 - For example, autonomy in decision making and caregivers' ability for meet the needs
 - Safety reporting
- Family issues
 - Advance directives or lack thereof
 - Communication (e.g., Rochette et al., 2014)
 - Family member's beliefs/wishes (e.g., Fritsch et al., 2013)

APPROACHES FOR ETHICAL CONFLICTS

- Identify a model to evaluate a situation
 - Seek information
 - Consider solutions, consequences, and risks
 - Implement the decision most likely to achieve goal and remain in keeping with ethical practices
 - Evaluate the outcome

KERHOFF & HANSON MODEL

- Identify the situation
- Identify the ethical principles and concepts
- Identify the social context and the key stakeholders
- Address organizational and legal issues
- Develop potential solutions
- Evaluate the outcome

REFERENCES

- Appelbaum, P.S., & Grisso, T. (1988). Assessing patients' capacities to consent to treatment. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 319, 1635-1638.
- Asplund, K., & Britton, M. (1989). Ethics of life support in patients with severe stroke. *Stroke*, 20, 1107-1112.
- Fritsch, J., Petronio, S., Helft, P.R., & Torke, A.M. (2013). Making decisions for hospitalized older adults: Ethical factors considered by family surrogates. *Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 24, 125-134.
- Hill, J., & Filer, W. (2015). Safety and ethical considerations in discharging patients to suboptimal living situations. *AMA Journal of Ethics*, 17, 506-510.
- Kerkhoff, T.R., & Hanson, S.L. (2013). Ethics Field Guide: Applications to Rehabilitation Psychology. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kirschner, K.L., Stocking, c., Wagner, L.B., Foye, S.J., & Siegler, M. (2001). Ethical issues identified by rehabilitation clinicians. *Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 82, S2-8.
- Louw, S.J., & Keeble, J.A. (2002). Stroke medicine Ethical and legal considerations. *Age and Aging*, 31-S3, 31-S5.
- National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1978). *The Belmont report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research*. Bethesda, MD: The Commission.
- Rochette, A., Racine, E., Lefebvre, H., Lacombe, J., Bastien, J., & Tellier, M. (2014). Ethical issues relating to the inclusion of relatives as clients in the post-stroke rehabilitation process as perceived by patients, relatives, and health professionals. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 94, 384-389.
- Wainapel, S. F., & Morice, K. (2014). Ethical issues commonly managed during rehabilitation. *PM&R KnowledgeNow*.